

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/646,327	08/22/2003	George Tombarello	32989	9687	
29669 75	590 · 10/07/2004	•	EXAMINER		
PEARSON & PEARSON, LLP 10 GEORGIA STREET			THOMPSON, HUGH B		
LOWELL, MA 01852			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3634		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	m			
Office Action Summary		10/646,32	7	TOMBARELLO, C	SEORGE			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
_		Hugh B. Th	nompson II	3634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication reperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, reply received by the office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. a reply within the statu period will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 August 2003.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1,3-5 and 11-13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-11-03</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,327

Art Unit: 3634

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With respect to claim 12, no method step has been recited after "further comprises", only the structure of the side rails. Absent use of that recited structure in a method step requiring the structure, the claim fails to further limit claim 11.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McComb #4,909,352. McComb discloses a ladder supported on the bed of truck/trailer the side rails of which are received within a pair of tubular holding means 68.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,327

Art Unit: 3634

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McComb as advanced above. McComb fails to disclose cylindrical holding/receiving means. Cylindrical holding/receiving means is no more than an obvious design choice based upon the cross-section of ladder rails. Therefore to one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious to provide the holding means of McComb with cylindrical holding means so as to mate with ladder rails of similar cross-section.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McComb as applied to claims 1, 3, 11, and 13 above, and further in view of Nelson et al. McComb fails to disclose steps having anti-slip material. Nelson et al teach the well-known utility of anti-slip material 16 secure to a rung of a ladder to reduce slippage of users thereon. Therefore to one of ordinary skill in the art, it would have been obvious to provide the steps/rungs of McComb with anti-slip material as taught by Nelson et al, so as to reduce slippage of users thereon.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-10 are allowed.

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The primary reason for the allowable subject matter of claims 2 and 6 is the inclusion of a side rail that curves towards the other a predetermined distance and then proceeds parallel to the other for insertion into the receiving/holding means. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed features absent the applicant's own disclosure.

Art Unit: 3634

# Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ziaylek et al #6,378,654, Boyd #6,357,548, Griffin #4,858,725, Rainey #5,042,614, and Langhoff et al #6,622,822 are cited to teach ladder assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (703) 305-0102. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (703) 308-0827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hugh B. Thompson II Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634 Page 4

September 29, 2004

HUGH B. THOMPSON II
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600